

General Criteria:

Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria

May 3, 2010

(Editor's Note: This article is no longer effective after Aug. 7, 2020, except in Uruguay. Its contents were moved in their entirety into "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" (see "Credit Stability" in "Appendix") without any change in substance. These contents are primarily definitional in nature and characterized as either fundamental attributes of our rating products, clarifications of the meanings of our ratings, or specific applications of our rating definitions.)

1. S&P Global Ratings incorporates credit stability as an important factor in its rating opinions. When assigning and monitoring ratings, we consider whether we believe an issuer or security has a high likelihood of experiencing unusually large adverse changes in credit quality under conditions of moderate stress. In such cases, we would assign the issuer or security a lower rating than we would have otherwise.

SCOPE OF THE CRITERIA

2. These criteria apply to credit ratings on all types of issuers and issues.
3. This paragraph has been deleted.
4. This paragraph has been deleted.
5. This paragraph has been deleted.

METHODOLOGY

6. When assigning and monitoring ratings, we consider whether we believe an issuer or security has a high likelihood of experiencing unusually large adverse changes in credit quality under conditions of moderate stress (for example, recessions of moderate severity, such as the U.S. recession of 1982 and the U.K. recession in the early 1990s or appropriate sector-specific stress scenarios). To promote rating comparability, we use hypothetical stress scenarios as benchmarks for calibrating our criteria across different sectors and over time (see "Understanding Standard & Poor's Rating Definitions," published June 3, 2009). Each scenario broadly corresponds to one of the rating categories 'AAA' through 'B'. The scenario for a particular category reflects the level of stress that issuers or obligations rated in that category should, in our view, be able to withstand without defaulting. The 'BBB' stress scenario connotes moderate stress.
7. The table shows the maximum projected deterioration under moderate stress conditions that we would associate with each rating level for time horizons of one year and three years. For example, we typically would not assign a rating of 'AA' where we believe the rating would likely fall below 'A' within one year under moderate stress conditions.

PRIMARY CONTACT

James M Wiemken
New York
(1) 212-438-1150
james.wiemken
@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACTS

Lapo Guadagnuolo
London
(44) 20-7176-3507
lapo.guadagnuolo
@spglobal.com

Katrien Van Acoleyen
London
(44) 20-7176-3860
katrien.vanacoleyen
@spglobal.com

Andrea Quirk
London
(44) 20-7176-3736
andrea.quirk
@spglobal.com

Marta Castelli
Buenos Aires
(54) 114-891-2128
marta.castelli
@spglobal.com

Matthew B Albrecht, CFA
Centennial
+ 1 (303) 721 4670
matthew.albrecht
@spglobal.com

Maximum Projected Deterioration Associated With Rating Levels For One-Year And Three-Year Horizons Under Moderate Stress Conditions

	AAA	AA	A	BBB	BB	B
One year	AA	A	BB	B	CCC	D
Three years	BBB	BB	B	CCC	D	D

8. These credit-quality transitions do not reflect our view of the expected degree of deterioration that rated issuers or securities could experience over the specified time horizons. Nor do they reflect the typical historical levels of deterioration among rated issuers and securities. In fact, instances of credit deterioration of this magnitude and speed have been relatively uncommon. These criteria do not imply that we believe that issuers or securities should become--or are likely to become--less stable.
9. Rather, the values in the table express a theoretical outer bound for the projected credit deterioration of any given issuer or security under specific, hypothetical stress scenarios. Actual experience likely will vary from the hypothetical scenarios, so the universe of rated issuers and securities (as well as sub-populations of the full universe) likely will display actual degrees of deterioration greater than or less than those indicated in the table. For example, we would naturally expect relatively little credit deterioration during benign market conditions or during conditions of only mild or modest stress, which we view as the 'B' and 'BB' stress scenario, respectively. Conversely, issuers and securities could suffer greater degrees of credit deterioration during periods of severe (AA scenario) or extreme (AAA scenario) stress. In addition, specific business segments--such as housing, energy, retail, and transportation--could experience different degrees of stress over any given period.
10. We do not intend this approach to result in rating upgrades in sectors that have historically displayed above-average credit stability. Instead, we intend the framework to function as a limiting factor on the ratings assigned to credits that we believe are vulnerable to exceptionally high instability.
11. The primary focus of the stability consideration is intended to be ordinary business risk rather than special types of risk, such as changes in laws, fraud, or corporate acquisitions.
12. The methodology is asymmetric in that it focuses solely on credit deterioration rather than on credit improvement. There are two reasons for this approach. First, investors and creditors have expressed greater concern about deterioration than improvement. Second is the essential downside/upside asymmetry of the basic credit proposition.
13. This paragraph has been deleted.
14. This paragraph has been deleted.
15. This paragraph has been deleted.

REVISIONS AND UPDATES

This article was originally published on May 3, 2010. The criteria became effective as of the publishing date.

Changes introduced after original publication:

- Following our periodic review completed on Nov. 10, 2016, we updated the contact information.

General Criteria: Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria

- Following our periodic review completed on Nov. 6, 2017, we deleted paragraph 5, which stated the effective date. We also updated the "Related Criteria And Research" section and contact information.
- On Jan. 3, 2019, we republished this criteria article to make nonmaterial changes. We updated the contact information and deleted outdated text.

RELATED CRITERIA AND RESEARCH

Related Criteria

- Understanding Standard & Poor's Rating Definitions, June 3, 2009

Related Research

- S&P Global Ratings Definitions, June 26, 2017
- Big Changes In Standard & Poor's Rating Criteria, Nov. 3, 2009
- Standard & Poor's Reaffirms Its Commitment To The Goal Of Comparable Ratings Across Sectors And Outlines Related Actions, May 6, 2008

These criteria represent the specific application of fundamental principles that define credit risk and ratings opinions. Their use is determined by issuer- or issue-specific attributes as well as Standard & Poor's Ratings Services' assessment of the credit and, if applicable, structural risks for a given issuer or issue rating. Methodology and assumptions may change from time to time as a result of market and economic conditions, issuer- or issue-specific factors, or new empirical evidence that would affect our credit judgment.

General Criteria: Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.