

RFC Process Summary:

## Group Rating Methodology

July 1, 2019

On Dec. 12, 2018, S&P Global Ratings published a request for comment (RFC) on its proposed global methodology for rating entities that are part of corporate, financial institutions (FI), insurance, and international public finance groups, as well as U.S. public finance obligated groups. Our RFC also included an appendix (B) where we shared our proposed guidance for the application of the proposed criteria.

We would like to thank investors, issuers, and other intermediaries who provided feedback on the criteria. This RFC Process Summary provides an overview of the key changes between the request for comment and the final criteria, and the rationale behind those changes.

We did not make material changes to the criteria as a result of comments received, but we did make a limited number of minor analytical changes. We also made editorial clarifications to enhance the transparency of certain aspects of the criteria. In addition, we made a number of minor clarifications, modifications, and additions to the guidance document.

We finalized and published our criteria, titled "Group Rating Methodology" (GRM) on July 1, 2019.

### Summary Of Changes To Criteria

#### Investment holding companies (IHC)

We moved the section of the proposed criteria that explains the limitations on the group status of investee companies of IHC group parents, to our GRM guidance document. This explanation represents a specific application of the GRM framework and should therefore be covered in GRM guidance.

#### Dedicated supplier/purchaser relationships

We added clarifying language, as per our original intent, that we apply this section of the criteria only with respect to a supplier who supports the purchaser, and not the other way around.

#### Rating bank subsidiaries above the group credit profile (GCP) (insulated entities section)

We have made a change to allow a one-notch negative adjustment when determining the potential

#### ANALYTICAL CONTACTS

**Craig A Bennett**  
Melbourne  
(61) 3-9631-2197  
craig.bennett  
@spglobal.com

**Dan Picciotto, CFA**  
New York  
(1) 212-438-7894  
dan.picciotto  
@spglobal.com

**Ivana L Recalde**  
Buenos Aires  
(54) 114-891-2127  
ivana.recalde  
@spglobal.com

#### CRITERIA CONTACTS

**Mark Button**  
London  
(44) 20-7176-7045  
mark.button  
@spglobal.com

**Peter Kernan**  
London  
(44) 20-7176-3618  
peter.kernan  
@spglobal.com

**Nik Khakee**  
New York  
(1) 212-438-2473  
nik.khakee  
@spglobal.com

See complete contact list at end of article.

## **RFC Process Summary: Group Rating Methodology**

issuer credit rating (ICR) of certain bank subsidiaries that we rate above the GCP. This will enable us to capture our holistic view of potential extraordinary negative group intervention where a cap linked to the GCP would not apply. We made this change to increase the scope for analytical adjustments.

We have also clarified the text to ensure the analytical principle is clear that in rating certain bank subsidiaries above the GCP, we consider that a cap linked to the GCP does not apply. We also clarified that the potential ICR of a bank subsidiary is typically not subject to a cap linked to the GCP if the entity's stand-alone credit profile plus the potential for additional loss-absorbing capacity support is above the GCP. The RFC had indicated that this only applied to banks with high or moderate systemic importance. This was not our intent and hence our clarification on this topic.

### **Holding companies of prudentially regulated financial services groups**

We have included in the criteria an additional factor that we consider when determining the ICR of holding companies of prudentially regulated financial services groups (FI and insurance). This relates to situations where the potential for regulatory restrictions to payments is significantly lower than we typically observe. We had included this as an example in the proposed guidance, explaining when we may apply narrower notching; however, we believe this is an important analytical principle and therefore we are including it in the criteria.

### **Rating group entities above the sovereign**

We have made a change to the methodology for rating a group member above the sovereign when the sovereign rating is 'B-' or lower, and clarified when 'CCC' criteria is relevant to determine the ICR. More specifically, for a group member where the relevant foreign currency sovereign rating is lower than 'B-', the ICR is no lower than 'B-' (unless transfer and convertibility restrictions are applicable) if the conditions for an ICR of 'CCC+' or lower are not met. We made this change to improve transparency and consistency with other criteria.

In addition, we have made a change to widen the scope of analytical judgment to rate a core group member of an FI group above the relevant foreign currency sovereign rating based on uplift for group support (now up to two notches above, compared with one notch proposed in the RFC). While we would typically rate a core FI group member no more than one notch above the relevant sovereign rating based on uplift for group support, under certain circumstances, as described in GRM guidance, we may rate a core FI group member two notches above the relevant sovereign rating.

We have also made a number of edits to this section, mostly in an effort to clarify our intent, align our terminology with other criteria, and enhance readability.

### **Appendix A (Glossary of terms)**

We have moved our definition of "extraordinary negative intervention" to the criteria glossary, from the guidance section, where it previously resided. In addition, we added a definition for "extraordinary support." Both definitions are intended to add clarity and include editorial changes to closely align the text with other criteria, such as "Rating Government-Related Entities" and "Stand-Alone Credit Profiles."

## **Criteria prescriptiveness versus scope for analytical judgment**

A number of respondents stated their preferences for more or for less criteria prescriptiveness, as the case may be. Some prefer more latitude for analytical judgment while others voiced a clear partiality to higher prescriptiveness. In response to some of these comments, we made various clarifying edits to both our criteria and our guidance, but overall, we remain confident that we have struck an appropriate balance between prescriptiveness and scope for analytical adjustments.

## **Summary Of Changes To Guidance**

We have made several edits to our guidance to aid users of the criteria in understating how we may apply the analytical judgment that the criteria affords. We made other edits to the guidance document to align language, increase transparency on the interaction with other sector criteria, and enhance the clarity of our intent. In addition, and as a response to comments received, we have added guidance on the application of GRM as it pertains to the group status of subsidiaries of project development companies. We have also added guidance on how we apply the greater scope for judgement in rating core group members of financial institution groups above the sovereign. Lastly, we have added guidance on the application of these criteria where cross-default clauses between two or more entities create the economic equivalent of a group.

We finalized and published our guidance article as a separate document. See "Guidance: Group Rating Methodology," published on July 1, 2019.

## **Other Points of Clarification**

We received other comments that were largely application-type comments or requests for clarification--that is, comments about how the criteria would be applied rather than comments specific to the criteria proposal itself. We considered the clarifications needed to address these items and incorporated these into the final criteria and guidance, where appropriate. We also incorporated editorial revisions, where appropriate, to improve the readability and clarify the intent of the criteria.

This report does not constitute a rating action.

## Contact List

### ANALYTICAL CONTACTS

**Craig A Bennett**  
Melbourne  
(61) 3-9631-2197  
craig.bennett@spglobal.com

### ANALYTICAL CONTACTS

**Dan Picciotto, CFA**  
New York  
(1) 212-438-7894  
dan.picciotto@spglobal.com

### ANALYTICAL CONTACTS

**Ivana L Recalde**  
Buenos Aires  
(54) 114-891-2127  
ivana.recalde@spglobal.com

### CRITERIA CONTACTS

**Mark Button**  
London  
(44) 20-7176-7045  
mark.button@spglobal.com

### CRITERIA CONTACTS

**Peter Kernan**  
London  
(44) 20-7176-3618  
peter.kernan@spglobal.com

### CRITERIA CONTACTS

**Nik Khakee**  
New York  
(1) 212-438-2473  
nik.khakee@spglobal.com

### CRITERIA CONTACTS

**Yuval Torbati**  
RAMAT-GAN  
(972) 3-753-9714  
yuval.torbati@spglobal.com

Copyright © 2024 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.spglobal.com/ratings](http://www.spglobal.com/ratings) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees](http://www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.