

# Rating Evaluation Service: **Gain Insight** Before You Act



# When Evaluating Strategic Options, Consider the Credit Impact

When exploring strategic options, you may want to assess ahead of time how your proposed initiatives may affect your creditworthiness. The decision to take on a major capital program, consider an acquisition, manage debt capacity, change an operating structure or vary the mix of security types issued can potentially have significant credit consequences. That's where we can help.

## Obtain Useful Feedback and Gain Valuable Insight

The Rating Evaluation Service (RES) gives you a confidential assessment of the potential credit impact of your proposed strategic initiatives before you implement them. Our analysis can allow you to identify actions that could lead to credit outcomes that you would view as being more or less favourable, based on your objectives. This can be particularly valuable, whether you are considering only one plan or several alternatives.

## Analysis Based on Your Scenarios

Provide us with the hypothetical scenarios you are considering -for example, varying combinations of debt, equity and cash - and we'll provide you with feedback and indicative rating outcomes from a rating evaluation committee. Please note that the Rating Evaluation Service process and outcome remain confidential.

## One Solution, Many Uses

Rating Evaluation Service is available to both rated and unrated entities and has been used by our clients to gauge the potential ratings implications of initiatives such as:

- Mergers and acquisitions
- Capital plan alternatives and/or additional debt being contemplated
- Infrastructure/public-private partnership projects
- Asset or line-of-business divestitures
- Share buybacks or dividend payments
- Creation of new holding and subsidiary company structures
- Recapitalizations (including senior and subordinated debt, common and preferred mix)
- Internal risk-based capital allocation (against lines of business and risk classes)
- Bankruptcy emergent alternatives

# Rating Evaluation Service in action

## Corporate Acquisitions/M&A

A highly rated consumer products company was considering a major acquisition; however, it was concerned about the potential negative effect on its ratings. The company presented us with different hypothetical financing scenarios that included variations of additional debt and equity. Through Rating Evaluation Service, we provided our rationale and indicative ratings impact for each scenario presented. The company used the Rating Evaluation Service results to help decide which financing approach would better achieve their objectives.

## Refinancing Initiative

A resource company which was considering its first foray into the capital markets was reviewing financing options, including a debut high-yield or secured Term Loan B (TLB) issue. The company wanted to be confident that its eventual offering would meet its own internal expectations and would be attractive to potential investors. It presented us with its different hypothetical funding scenarios. Through the Rating Evaluation Service, we provided an analysis and an initial indicative rating (including recovery rating analysis) for each hypothetical financing scenario presented by the company. This information was then used by the company's senior management as a tool to help price various financing options and assess which course of action best suited the company's strategy.

## Divestment/Spin-Off

A major financial institution was interested in divesting one of its non-core business units. It wanted to understand what the impact would be on its existing ratings if the sale proceeds were applied to debt repayment, a share buy back or an acquisition. The company provided multiple hypothetical scenarios to us regarding the proposed use of the sale proceeds, so that we could evaluate the indicative ratings impact of each scenario. The Rating Evaluation Service report provided to the financial institution was used by its executive management team as an input into their decision about whether to retain or divest its non-core business unit.

## Port Terminal Project

A port operating company was preparing for the construction and operation of a new port terminal that was expected to meet increasing demand for both containers and liquid bulk facilities. It was a large greenfield project with inherent construction risk. In order to mitigate risk and attract a wider pool of potential long-term investors, the operator and lenders, together with a multilateral

development agency, sought a financial guarantee that would enhance the project's credit quality and raise the project's rating to a minimum level. Rather than base their decision-making on "educated guesses" about the potential project rating, the company submitted different hypothetical financing scenarios of debt and equity, including varying guarantee levels, to us. Through the Rating Evaluation Service, we provided our indicative project rating for each scenario, which the company took into account when determining which financing structure it viewed as being most advantageous to meet its objectives.

## Hybrid Equity Content

A rated utility company approached us to provide feedback on the potential treatment of equity content for a proposed hybrid capital instrument. The company wanted clarification as to whether the proposed hybrid issue would qualify for 'high', 'intermediate' or 'minimal' equity content. Through our Rating Evaluation Service, we assessed the characteristics of the proposed hybrid issue and, based on the terms and conditions of the proposed instrument as well as management's likely intentions to maintain the instrument, formed a conclusion as to the expected treatment of the equity content. This allowed the company to understand in advance our eligibility requirements and process for assigning equity content to a hybrid instrument.

## Unrated Private Company

An unrated retail company had operated for many years as a private entity. However, it had recently determined that in order to realise its strategic goal of a significant expansion, it should consider an IPO in conjunction with issuing public debt for the first time. The company engaged external investment banking advisors to assist with achieving its strategic objectives and had narrowed the focus with two specific business plans in mind. Even though the company had no prior credit ratings, it requested us to conduct a Rating Evaluation Service analysis, to confidentially assess what the initial indicative rating might be under each hypothetical scenario. The company used the Rating Evaluation Service results to help it choose what it viewed as the most appropriate strategy to meet its objectives.

## Contact us

### Client Business Management

Jason Wang  
02-87225888  
jason.wang@taiwanratings.com.tw

Celia Chang  
02-87225885  
celia.chang@taiwanratings.com.tw

Copyright © 2019 by Taiwan Ratings Corporation (TRC). All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of TRC. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. TRC and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively TRC Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. TRC Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. TRC DISCLAIMS ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall TRC be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. TRC's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. TRC assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. TRC does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While TRC has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, TRC does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, TRC reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. TRC disclaims any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

TRC keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of TRC may have information that is not available to other TRC business units. TRC has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

TRC receives compensation for its solicited ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers, originators, arrangers, or underwriters of securities or from obligors. TRC reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. TRC's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.taiwanratings.com](http://www.taiwanratings.com) (free of charge), and [rs.taiwanratings.com.tw](http://rs.taiwanratings.com.tw) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via TRC publications and third-party redistributors. Please click [here](#) for any other conflict of interests that may affect the credit rating as requested by the regulator.

**[www.taiwanratings.com](http://www.taiwanratings.com)**